#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

### **Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission**

In the Matter of:

The Application for Certification for the Calico Solar Project Amendment

Docket No. 08-AFC-13C

#### MOTION TO STRIKE SIERRA CLUB'S MOTION TO DISMISS

May 4, 2011

Ella Foley Gannon, SBN 197591 Bingham McCutchen LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: 415.393.2000

Facsimile: 415.393.2286

email: ella.gannon@bingham.com

Attorneys for Applicant Calico Solar, LLC

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

### **Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission**

ĭ"	tha	1 /	latter	of.
ın	ine	IV	ıaner	OI.

The Application for Certification for the Calico Solar Project Amendment

Docket No. 08-AFC-13C

#### MOTION TO STRIKE SIERRA CLUB'S MOTION TO DISMISS

On April 20, 2011, Sierra Club docketed a document captioned "Sierra Club Motion to Dismiss The Petition To Amend." Sierra Club was not a party, and only became a party subsequently, on May 2, 2011, when its petition to intervene was granted. Only parties may file motions. 20 C.C.R. § 1702(i); § 1716.5. Sierra Club knew it was not a party but filed its motion anyway. It did so without articulating any urgent issue requiring the Commission to suspend its procedural regulations. There are none. Accordingly, the Applicant requests an order striking Sierra Club's improperly filed motion. The Commission's adjudicatory proceedings require the evaluation of sharply opposing views and cannot be a free for all.<sup>2</sup>

In addition to its improper motion, Sierra Club has filed a "Notice of Protest" simply stating a variety of complaints. The Notice of Protest does not seek any action by the Commission and it is not itself a motion. Instead, the Notice of Protest is an improper attempt to bolster an improperly filed motion. As such, it violates 20 C.C.R. § 1712(c) and should also be stricken. In addition to not being filed pursuant to any Commission rule, the Notice of Protest raises retrospective complaints that were already raised and rejected in litigation before the Supreme Court. With respect to Sierra Club's prospective complaints, Sierra Club asserts that it will be burdened by these proceedings. The claim is utterly

A/74252631.1 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The right to intervene is not automatically granted simply because a petition is filed, and the filing of a petition to intervene does not in and of itself allow a non-party to file a motion. 20 C.C.R. § 1201(d) and (e); § 1207(c). Notwithstanding the fact that the Applicant finds Sierra Club's prior legal complaints to be unfounded, burdensome, and deeply ironic, the Applicant notes that it did not object to or otherwise oppose Sierra Club's petition to intervene and be heard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A failure by the Commission to enforce its basic procedural rules would lead to a great deal of unnecessary confusion regarding how long the other parties have to respond to improperly filed motions.

spurious. Sierra Club has itself opted to become a party in these proceedings knowing that the Commission considers the views of all the parties in adjudicating the issues before it, and knowing that party status comes with both "rights and duties." 20 C.C.R. § 1712(a); § 1712(c). Sierra Club had no obligation to intervene. Having intervened, Sierra Club may, if it chooses, decline to address every issue that arises in these proceedings but the Commission's jurisdiction. To the extent that Sierra Club is confident that its legal arguments are correct, it cannot object that it will suffer any prejudice in adopting this approach.

The briefing schedule that the Commission has adopted is appropriate to address both disputed issues of fact and disputed issues of law regarding the Commission's jurisdiction. As the Commission is well aware, the contested legal issues also merit careful attention, in part because they involve implications that go well beyond the issues regarding photovoltaic technology that Sierra Club has raised. The briefing schedule is appropriate, and Sierra Club's arguments will be considered. Sierra Club's means of contesting the briefing schedule is entirely inappropriate. Both the Motion to Dismiss and the Notice of Protest should be stricken.

Date: May 4, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

Ella Foley Gannon

Attorneys for Calico Solar, LLC
Applicant for the Calico Solar
(formerly known as SES Solar One) Project



# BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

## FOR THE CALICO SOLAR PROJECT AMENDMENT

### Docket No. 08-AFC-13C PROOF OF SERVICE

#### **APPLICANT**

Calico Solar, LLC
Daniel J. O'Shea
Managing Director
2600 10th Street, Suite 635
Berkeley, CA 94710
dano@kroadpower.com

#### CONSULTANT

URS Corporation
Angela Leiba
AFC Project Manager
4225 Executive Square, #1600
La Jolla, CA 92037
angela\_leiba@URSCorp.com

#### APPLICANT'S COUNSEL

Allan J. Thompson Attorney at Law 21 C Orinda Way #314 Orinda, CA 94563 allanori@comcast.net

Bingham McCutchen, LLP Ella Foley Gannon, Partner Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111 ella.gannon@bingham.com

#### INTERVENORS

Society for the Conservation of Bighorn Sheep Bob Burke & Gary Thomas P.O. Box 1407 Yermo, CA 92398 cameracoordinator@sheepsociety.com Basin and Range Watch
Laura Cunningham &
Kevin Emmerich
P.O. Box 70
Beatty, NV 89003
atomictoadranch@netzero.net

California Unions for Reliable
Energy (CURE)
c/o: Tanya A. Gulesserian,
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph
& Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard,
Ste. 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com

Patrick C. Jackson 600 Darwood Avenue San Dimas, CA 91773 ochsjack@earthlink.net

Sierra Club
c/o Gloria D. Smith,
Travis Ritchie
85 Second Street, Second floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
gloria.smith@sierraclub.org
travis.ritchie@sierraclub.org

Newberry Community Service District c/o Wayne W. Weierbach P.O. Box 206 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 newberryCSD@gmail.com INTERESTED
AGENCIES/ENTITIES/PERSONS
California ISO
e-recipient@caiso.com

BLM – Nevada State Office Jim Stobaugh P.O. Box 12000 Reno, NV 89520 jim\_stobaugh@blm.gov

Bureau of Land Management Rich Rotte, Project Manager Barstow Field Office 2601 Barstow Road Barstow, CA 92311 richard\_rotte@blm.gov

California Department of Fish & Game Becky Jones 36431 41st Street East Palmdale, CA 93552 dfgpalm@adelphia.net

County of San Bernardino Ruth E. Stringer, County Counsel Bart W. Brizzee, Deputy County Counsel 385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 4th Floor San Bernardino, CA 92415 bbrizzee@cc.sbcounty.gov INTERESTED
AGENCIES/ENTITIES/PERSONS
CONT.
Defenders of Wildlife
Joshua Basofin
1303 J Street, Suite 270
Sacramento, California 95814
E-Mail Preferred
ibasofin@defenders.org

BNSF Railroad
Cynthia Lea Burch
Steven A. Lamb
Anne Alexander
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP
Ste. 2700
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012
Cynthia.burch@kattenlaw.com
Steven.lamb@kattenlaw.com
Anne.alexander@kattenlaw.com

### ENERGY COMMISSION

KAREN DOUGLAS

Commissioner and Presiding Member kldougla@energy.state.ca.us

Galen Lemei Adviser to Commissioner Douglas glemei@energy.state.ca.us

ROBERT B. WEISENMILLER Chairman and Associate Member rweisenm@energy.state.ca.us

Eileen Allen Adviser to Chairman Weisenmiller eallen@energy.state.ca.us

Kourtney Vaccaro Hearing Officer kvaccaro@energy.state.ca.us

Kerry Willis Staff Counsel kwillis@energy.state.ca.us

Stephen Adams Co-Staff Counsel Sadams@energy.state.ca.us

Craig Hoffman
Project Manager
<a href="mailto:choffman@energy.state.ca.us">choffman@energy.state.ca.us</a>

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser
E-mail Preferred
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

#### **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, Margaret Pavao, declare that on May 4, 2011, I served by U.S. mail and filed copies of the attached Motion to Strike Sierra Club's Motion to Dismiss, dated, May 4, 2011. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/calicosolar/compliance/index.html].

The documents have been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

FOR SERVICE TO ALL OTHER PARTIES:

#### (Check all that Apply)

<u>X</u> <u>X</u>	sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list; by personal delivery; by delivering on this date, for mailing with the United States Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses <b>NOT</b> marked "email preferred."				
AND					
	FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:				
<u>X</u>	sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below ( <i>preferred method</i> );				
OR					
	depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:				

#### **CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION**

Attn: Docket No. 08-AFC-13C 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding

Margaret Pavao Bingham McCutchen